Code of Practice on Disinformation – Report of Reporters without Borders for the period January 2024 – January 2025

Table of Content

Executive summary

Executive summary (max. 2 pages)

Guidelines for filling out the report

Reports are detailing how signatories have implemented their Commitments under the Code and signatories commit to provide regular reporting on Service Level Indicators (SLIs) and Qualitative Reporting Elements (QREs). The reports and data provided should allow for a thorough assessment of the extent of the implementation of the Code's Commitments and Measures by each signatory.

Reporting period

The reporting period to be covered in the reports is 12 months (edit reporting period) for signatories who are not offering very large online platform services. Signatories shall submit reports outlining policy updates and actions taken to implement the Commitments and Measures they signed up to under the Code. All data and policy updates should be reported for 12 months period from the submission of last reports.

Adjusting the reporting template

Signatories who are not offering very large online platform services can adapt the template to specific commitments and measures they subscribed to. This may include adapted wording for commitments, measures, QREs and SLIs. Relevant signatories will report only on commitments and measures they subscribed to and provide Member State-level data only if feasible.

Reporting per Service

When filling in a report for several services, use colour codes to clearly distinguish between services. At the beginning of the report, clarify what colour is used for which service.

Reporting in text form

Reporting in the form of written text is required for several parts of the report. Most of them are accompanied by a target character limit. Please stick to the target character limit as much as possible. We encourage you to use bullet points and short sentences. When providing information to the QRE, please make sure that your answer covers all the elements of the associated commitment and measure. Links should only be used to provide examples or to illustrate the point. They should not be used to replace explanations or to provide data in the forms. All relevant explanations and data must be included in the report directly, in written form.

Reporting SLIs and data

Reporting on SLIs requires quantitative information to be reported on in this harmonised reporting template.

- Where relevant and feasible, SLIs should be reported on per Member State.
- If no data is available on Member State level, SLIs might, instead, be exceptionally reported on per language. (NB that signatories agreed to revisit this issue after the first reporting, to ensure harmonised and meaningful reporting.)
- Please report data in the format provided by the harmonised reporting template, not through external links. Please use the Member State/language template provided in the harmonised reporting template. Where the table asks for "Other relevant metrics", please name the metric that you would like to report on in addition to the ones already provided. You may include more than the number of additional fields provided where necessary; in that case, please adjust the table as needed.
- Please contextualize all data as much as possible, i.e. include baseline quantitative information that will help contextualize the SLIs (e.g. number of pieces of content labelled out of what volume of content).
- If there are no relevant metrics to report on, please leave the respective columns blank.

Reporting on TTPs

If subscribed to Commitment 14, Integrity of Services, we ask you to report on each identified TTP individually. The number of identified TTPs may vary per service. Where more than one TTP are reported under the same action, clarify the reasoning in the methodology. Where input is not provided, keep the placeholder for the relevant TTP and explain reasons and planned remedial action. Additionally, as with all other SLIs, data can be provided per Member State for each individual TTP.

Missing Data

In case that at the time of reporting there is no data available yet, the data is insufficient, or the methodology is lacking, please outline in the dedicated field (i.e. in the field about further implementation measures planned) how this will be addressed over the upcoming six months, being as specific as possible.

Signatories are encouraged to provide insights about the data/numbers they provide by inserting possible explanations in the boxes of the template "*Methodology of data measurement & insights on data provided*". This should aim to explain the why of what is being reported, for instance – *Are there trends or curiosities that could*

require or use contextual explanation? What may be driving the change or the difference in the number? Please also indicate inconsistencies or gaps regarding methodology in the dedicated box.

Attachments

We ask you not to enclose any additional attachments to the harmonised reporting template.

Crisis and elections reporting template

Relevant signatories are asked to provide proportionate and appropriate information and data during a period of crisis and during an election. Reporting is a part of a special chapter at the end of the harmonised reporting template and should follow the guidelines:

- The reporting of signatories' actions should be as specific to the particular crisis or election reported on as possible. To this extent, the rows on "Specific Action[s]" should be filled in with actions that are either put in place specifically for a particular event (for example a media literacy campaign on disinformation related to the Ukraine war, an information panel for the elections), or to explain in more detail how an action that forms part of the service's general approach to implementing the Code is implemented in the specific context of the crisis or election reported on (for example, what types of narratives in a particular election/crisis would fall into scope of a particular policy of the service, what forms of advertising are ineligible).
- Regarding elections, signatories are expected to provide specific information on their **experience with the RRS for FR and RO elections**. This can be included in the first two rows ("Threats observed…" / "Mitigations in place …"). In addition, **regardless of the RRS activation, signatories should report on relevant actions in place for elections at national level** (parliamentary/presidential) in EU Member States during the reporting period – specifying the country(ies) and election(s).
- Signatories who are not offering very large online platform services and who follow the invitation to report on their specific actions for a particular election or crisis may adapt the reporting template as follows:
  - They may remove the "Policies and Terms and Conditions" section of the template, or use it to report on any important changes in their internal rules applicable to a particular election or crisis (for example, a change in editorial guidelines for fact-checkers specific to the particular election or crisis)
  - They may remove any Chapter Section of the Reporting Template (Scrutiny of Ads Placement, Political Advertising, Integrity of Services etc.) that is not relevant to their activities
- The harmonised reporting template should be filled in by adding additional rows for each item reported on. This means that rather than combined/bulk reporting such as "Depending on severity of violation, we demote or remove content based on policies X, Y, Z", there should be individual rows stating for example "Under Policy X, content is demoted or removed based on severity", "Under Policy Y, content […]" etc.
- The rows should be colour-coded to indicate which service is being reported on, using the same colour code as for the overall harmonised reporting template.

Reporting should be brief and to the point, with a suggested character limit entry of 2000 characters.

Uploading data to the Transparency Centre

The reports should be submitted to the Commission in the form of the pdf via e-mail to the address CNECT COP TASK FORCE CNECT-COP-TASK-FORCE@ec.europa.eu within the agreed deadline. Signatories will upload all data from the harmonised reporting template to the Transparency Centre, allowing easy data access and filtering within the agreed deadline. It is the responsibility of the signatories to ensure that the uploading takes place and is executed on time. Signatories are also responsible to ensure that the Transparency Centre is operational and functional by the time of the reports' submission that the data from the reports are uploaded and made accessible in the Transparency Centre within the above deadline, and that users are able to read, search, filer and download data as needed in a user-friendly way and format.

II. Scrutiny of Ad Placements				
Commitment 1				
Relevant signatories participating in ad placements commit to defund the dissemination of disinformation, and improve the policies and systems which determine the eligibility of content to be monetised, the controls for monetisation and ad placement, and the data to report on the accuracy and effectiveness of controls and services around ad placements. [change wording if adapted]				
Measure 1.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 1.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 1.1.1 – Numbers by actions enforcing policies above (specify if at page and/or domain level) [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Type of Action 1 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 2 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 3 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 4 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]
Level	Page/Domain	Page/Domain	Page/Domain	Page/Domain
Data				
Member States [example, insert only if feasible]				
Austria				
Belgium				
Bulgaria				
Croatia				
Cyprus				
Czech Republic				
Denmark				
Estonia				
Finland				
France				
Germany				
Greece				
Hungary				
Ireland				
Italy				

Latvia			
Lithuania			
Luxembourg			
Malta			
Netherlands			
Poland			
Portugal			
Romania			
Slovakia			
Slovenia			
Spain			
Sweden			
Iceland			
Liechtenstein			
Norway			
Total EU			
Total EEA			
This additional Service Level Indicator provides an estimated financial value of the actions taken by Signatories to demonetise disinformation sources (under SLI 1.1.1). It is based on media metrics available to Signatories (query/bid[1] or impression[2]) and applying an agreed–upon conversion factor provided by a third party designated by the Task–force of the Code (Ebiquity plc.).			
SLI 1.1.2 – Preventing the flow of legitimate advertising investment to sites or content that are designated as disinformation [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]		
	Euro value of ads demonetised		
Data			
Measure 1.2	[insert wording if adapted]		

---

[1] Request placed between a seller and buyer of advertising that can detail amongst other things website, specific content, targeting data inclusive of audience or content.

[2] Comprehensive calculation of the number of people who have been reached by a piece of media content by passive exposure (viewing a piece of content) or active engagement (visiting a destination).

QRE 1.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 1.2.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Nr of policy reviews	Nr of update to policies	Nr of accounts barred	Nr of domains barred
Data				
Measure 1.3	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 1.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
Measure 1.4	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 1.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
Measure 1.5	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 1.5.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.5.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
Measure 1.5	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.5.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.5.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
Measure 1.6	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 1.6.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.6.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.6.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 1.6.4 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 1.6.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	In view of steps taken to integrate brand safety tools: % of advertising/ media investment protected by such tools:			
Data				

II. Scrutiny of Ad Placements
Commitment 2

Relevant Signatories participating in advertising commit to prevent the misuse of advertising systems to disseminate Disinformation in the form of advertising messages. [change wording if adapted]				
Measure 2.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 2.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 2.1.1 – Numbers by actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Type of Action 1 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 2 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 3 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]	Type of Action 4 [linked to the policy mentioned in QRE]
Data				
Measure 2.2	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 2.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
Measure 2.3	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 2.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 2.3.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Number of ads removed (as well as reach of ads before they were successfully removed)		Number of ads prohibited	
Data				
Measure 2.4	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 2.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 2.4.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Number of appeals		Proportion of appeals that led to a change of the initial decision	
Data				

II. Scrutiny of Ad Placements
Commitment 3
Relevant Signatories involved in buying, selling and placing digital advertising commit to exchange best practices and strengthen cooperation with relevant players, expanding to organisations active in the online monetisation value chain, such as online e-payment services, e-commerce platforms and relevant crowd-funding/donation systems, with the aim to increase the effectiveness of scrutiny of ad placements on their own services. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 3.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 3.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 3.2	[insert wording if adapted]

QRE 3.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 3.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 3.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising
Commitment 4
Relevant Signatories commit to adopt a common definition of "political and issue advertising". [change wording if adapted]

Measure 4.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 4.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 4.1.1 (for measures 4.1 and 4.2) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 4.1.2 (for measures 4.1 and 4.2) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising
Commitment 5
Relevant Signatories commit to apply a consistent approach across political and issue advertising on their services and to clearly indicate in their advertising policies the extent to which such advertising is permitted or prohibited on their services. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 5.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 5.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising
Commitment 6
Relevant Signatories commit to make political or issue ads clearly labelled and distinguishable as paid-for content in a way that allows users to understand that the content displayed contains political or issue advertising. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 6.1	[insert wording if adapted]

QRE 6.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 6.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 6.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 6.2.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

SLI 6.2.1 – numbers for actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Number of ads accepted & labelled according to 6.2	Amounts spent by labelled advertisers	Other relevant metrics	Other relevant metrics
Data				

Measure 6.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 6.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 6.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 6.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 6.5	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 6.5.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising
Commitment 7
Relevant Signatories commit to put proportionate and appropriate identity verification systems in place for sponsors and providers of advertising services acting on behalf of sponsors placing political or issue ads. Relevant signatories will make sure that labelling and user-facing transparency requirements are met before allowing placement of such ads. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 7.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 7.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

SLI 7.1.1 – numbers for actions enforcing policies above (comparable metrics as for SLI 6.2.1) [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]	
	No of ads rejected	Other relevant metrics

Data		

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 8	
Relevant Signatories commit to provide transparency information to users about the political or issue ads they see on their service. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 8.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 8.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 8.2.1 (for measures 8.1 & 8.2) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 9	
Relevant Signatories commit to provide users with clear, comprehensible, comprehensive information about why they are seeing a political or issue ad. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 9.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 9.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 9.2.1 (for measures 9.1 & 9.2) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 10	
Relevant Signatories commit to maintain repositories of political or issue advertising and ensure their currentness, completeness, usability and quality, such that they contain all political and issue advertising served, along with the necessary information to comply with their legal obligations and with transparency commitments under this Code. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 10.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 10.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 10.2.1 (for measures 10.1 & 10.2) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 11	
Relevant Signatories commit to provide application programming interfaces (APIs) or other interfaces enabling users and researchers to perform customised searches within their ad repositories of political or issue advertising and to include a set of minimum functionalities as well as a set of minimum search criteria for the application of APIs or other interfaces." [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 11.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 11.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 11.3	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 11.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 11.1.1 (for measures 11.1–11.4) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 11.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 12	
Relevant Signatories commit to increase oversight of political and issue advertising and constructively assist, as appropriate, in the creation, implementation and improvement of political or issue advertising policies and practices. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 12.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 12.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 12.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 12.1.1 (for measures 12.1–12.3) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

III. Political Advertising	
Commitment 13	
Relevant Signatories agree to engage in ongoing monitoring and research to understand and respond to risks related to Disinformation in political or issue advertising. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 13.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 13.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 13.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 13.1.1 (for measures 13.1–13.3) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

IV. Integrity of Services

Commitment 14

In order to limit impermissible manipulative behaviours and practices across their services, Relevant Signatories commit to put in place or further bolster policies to address both misinformation and disinformation across their services, and to agree on a cross-service understanding of manipulative behaviours, actors and practices not permitted on their services. Such behaviours and practices, which should periodically be reviewed in light with the latest evidence on the conducts and TTPs employed by malicious actors, such as the AMITT Disinformation Tactics, Techniques and Procedures Framework, include:

The following TTPs pertain to the creation of assets for the purpose of a disinformation campaign, and to ways to make these assets seem credible:
- 1. Creation of inauthentic accounts or botnets (which may include automated, partially automated, or non-automated accounts)
- 2. Use of fake / inauthentic reactions (e.g. likes, up votes, comments)
- 3. Use of fake followers or subscribers
- 4. Creation of inauthentic pages, groups, chat groups, fora, or domains
- 5. Account hijacking or impersonation

The following TTPs pertain to the dissemination of content created in the context of a disinformation campaign, which may or may not include some forms of targeting or attempting to silence opposing views. Relevant TTPs include:
- 6. Deliberately targeting vulnerable recipients (e.g. via personalized advertising, location spoofing or obfuscation)
- 7. Deploy deceptive manipulated media (e.g. "deep fakes", "cheap fakes"...)
- 8. Use "hack and leak" operation (which may or may not include doctored content)
- 9. Inauthentic coordination of content creation or amplification, including attempts to deceive/manipulate platforms algorithms (e.g. keyword stuffing or inauthentic posting/reposting designed to mislead people about popularity of content, including by influencers)
- 10. Use of deceptive practices to deceive/manipulate platform algorithms, such as to create, amplify or hijack hashtags, data voids, filter bubbles, or echo chambers
- 11. Non-transparent compensated messages or promotions by influencers
- 12. Coordinated mass reporting of non-violative opposing content or accounts

Measure 14.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 14.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 14.1.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 14.2 [insert wording if adapted]	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 14.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 14.2.1 – SLI 14.2.4	

TTP OR ACTION 1 [replicate for number of TTPs or actions reported]	[ONLY IF THIS TABLE COVERS MORE THAN ONE TTP]: TTPs covered by this action, selected from the list at the top of this chapter [suggested character limit: 500 characters] Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters] Where input is not provided explain reasons and planned remedial action [suggested character limit: 500 characters]											
	SLI 14.2.1		SLI 14.2.2				SLI 14.2.3			SLI 14.2.4		
	Nr of instances of identified TTP	Nr of actions taken by type	Views/impressions before action	Interaction/engagement before action	Views/impressions after action	Interaction/engagement after action	Penetration and impact on genuine users	Trends on targeted audiences	Trends on narratives used	TTPs related content in relation to overall content on the service	Views/impressions of TTP related content (in relation to overall views/impressions on the service)	Interaction/engagement with TTP related content (in relation to overall interaction/engagement on the service)
Data												

IV. Integrity of Services	
Commitment 15	
Relevant Signatories that develop or operate AI systems and that disseminate AI-generated and manipulated content through their services (e.g. deep fakes) commit to take into consideration the transparency obligations and the list of manipulative practices prohibited under the proposal for Artificial Intelligence Act. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 15.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 15.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 15.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 15.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

IV. Integrity of Services

Commitment 16			
Relevant Signatories commit to operate channels of exchange between their relevant teams in order to proactively share information about cross-platform influence operations, foreign interference in information space and relevant incidents that emerge on their respective services, with the aim of preventing dissemination and resurgence on other services, in full compliance with privacy legislation and with due consideration for security and human rights risks. [change wording if adapted]			
Measure 16.1	[insert wording if adapted]		
QRE 16.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		
SLI 16.1.1 – Numbers of actions as a result of information sharing [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]		
	Nr of actions taken (total)	Type of detected content	Other relevant metrics
Data			
Measure 16.2	[insert wording if adapted]		
QRE 16.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		

V. Empowering Users				
Commitment 17				
In light of the European Commission's initiatives in the area of media literacy, including the new Digital Education Action Plan, Relevant Signatories commit to continue and strengthen their efforts in the area of media literacy and critical thinking, also with the aim to include vulnerable groups. [change wording if adapted]				
Measure 17.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 17.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 17.1.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Total count of the tool's impressions	Interactions/ engagement with the tool	Other relevant metrics	Other relevant metrics
Data				
Measure 17.2	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 17.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 17.2.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Nr of media literacy/ awareness raising activities organised/ participated in	Reach of campaigns	Nr of participants	Nr of interactions with online assets	Nr of participants (etc)

Data				
Measure 17.3	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 17.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			

V. Empowering Users				
Commitment 18				
Relevant Signatories commit to minimise the risks of viral propagation of Disinformation by adopting safe design practices as they develop their systems, policies, and features. [change wording if adapted]				
Measure 18.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 18.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 18.1.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
QRE 18.1.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 18.1.1 – actions proving effectiveness of measures and policies [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Reduction of prevalence of disinformation	Reduction of views/ impressions of disinformation	Increase in visibility of authoritative information	Other relevant metrics
Data				
Measure 18.2	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 18.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 18.2.1 – actions taken in response to policy violations [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Total no of violations	Metric 1: indicating the impact of the action taken	Metric 2: indicating the impact of the action taken	Metric 3: indicating the impact of the action taken
Data				
Measure 18.3	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 18.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 19
Relevant Signatories using recommender systems commit to make them transparent to the recipients regarding the main criteria and parameters used for prioritising or deprioritising information, and provide options to users about recommender systems, and make available information on those options. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 19.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 19.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 19.2	[insert wording if adapted]
SLI 19.2.1 – user settings [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	No of times users actively engaged with these settings
Data	

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 20
Relevant Signatories commit to empower users with tools to assess the provenance and edit history or authenticity or accuracy of digital content. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 20.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 20.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 20.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 20.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 21
Relevant Signatories commit to strengthen their efforts to better equip users to identify Disinformation. In particular, in order to enable users to navigate services in an informed way, Relevant Signatories commit to facilitate, across all Member States languages in which their services are provided, user access to tools for assessing the factual accuracy of sources through fact-checks from fact-checking organisations that have flagged potential Disinformation, as well as warning labels from other authoritative sources. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 21.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 21.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 21.1.1 – actions taken under measure 21.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Total impressions of fact-checks	Ratio of impressions of fact-checks to original impressions of fact-checked content	Reach of labels/ fact-checkers and other authoritative sources	Other pertinent metric
Data				
SLI 21.1.2 – actions taken under measure 21.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			

	Nr of articles published by independent fact-checkers	Nr of labels applied to content, such as on the basis of such articles	Meaningful metrics such as the impact of 21.1. measures on user interactions with, or user re-shares of, content fact-checked as false or misleading
Data			
QRE 21.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		
Measure 21.3	[insert wording if adapted]		
QRE 21.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 22
Relevant Signatories commit to provide users with tools to help them make more informed decisions when they encounter online information that may be false or misleading, and to facilitate user access to tools and information to assess the trustworthiness of information sources, such as indicators of trustworthiness for informed online navigation, particularly relating to societal issues or debates of general interest. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 22.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 22.1.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Percentage of users that have enabled the trustworthiness indicator
Data	
Measure 22.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 22.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 22.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters] Relevant Signatories will provide details of the basic criteria they use to review information sources and disclose relevant safeguards put in place to ensure that their services are apolitical, unbiased, and independent. They will provide examples of how these are applied 24 equally to a representative range of different publishers. Each analysis will indicate who contributed to assessing the source, or which certification body assessed the source. - Criteria used in the JTI mechanism are disclosed in the international standard (CEN 17493) and is the result of a self-regulatory process concluded in December 2019

	– To ensure these criteria are apolitical, unbiased, and independent and relevant for journalism practitioners, a representative committee of + 120 participants from the journalism community has been gathered to create this international standard (<u>list of participants</u> *page 4 to 7*) – The <u>CEN 17493</u>'s 18 clauses have been transferred into a self evaluation and auditable questionnaire made of 129 questions. – Moreover, the questionnaire has been converted into an online tool accessible to every type of media outlet so that all publishers can pass the self-assessment (link: www.jti-app.com) – The transparency reports published by media outlets are disclosed on a specific page accessible to the general public. – On each JTI certification document, is identified the certification body (e.g. <u>here</u>) that has done the audit of the publisher and the accreditation scheme in Europe is being advanced. In 2023, the number of certifiers approved to audit on JTI increased from two to ten in nine countries across the world. <mark>In 2024, 14 certifiers on JTI are available in 11 countries.</mark>
SLI 22.4.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Volume of traffic to trustworthy sources generated thanks to the outlined trustworthiness indicators
Data	
Measure 22.5	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.5.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters] Relevant Signatories will publish regular corrections on their ratings or indicators if updates or mistakes occur. Relevant Signatories will provide examples of exchanges with publishers, including evidence of this engagement as recorded in trustworthiness indicators, and will regularly update their analysis to reflect any changes in the publications' practices, including any improvement of their practices. – The Journalism Trust Initiative standard (CEN 17493) is valid for 3 years and re-assessed at its expirations date (here Dec 2022). A list of feedback regarding the criteria of the self-assessment (on jti-app) is collected from media outlets and partners in anticipation of the re-assessment of the Journalism Trust Initiative standard (CEN 17493) by its creation committee in December 2025. <mark>The process to renew the standard (CEN 17493) by December 2025 is ongoing.</mark> – The JTI certification mark is granted for two years to media outlets – The JTI programme, currently being reviewed by the European accreditors, specifies that media outlets shall continuously update relevant data and information that's relevant for the certification and notify the certification body of changes immediately via the jti:app; – Any change made by publishers in their self-assessment to the Journalism Trust Initiative standard is automatically updated in their transparency report (document synthesizing the self-assessment). On each transparency report, the date of the last update is clearly indicated. (E.g. <u>here</u>)
SLI 22.5.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Total nr of instances when a publisher's rating changed from untrustworthy to trustworthy following a hearing before a rating/updated rating is issued

Data	
SLI 22.5.2 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]

	Total nr of publishers who improved their score under the trustworthiness indicator
	
	- RSF is considering engaging in an impact study assessing the number of publishers that have improved their journalistic practices after being assessed will include quantitative information:
	  - Quantitative data
	    - percentage reached on the JTI self-assessment before and after improving practices
	    - if data available, increase of traffic on the publishers websites and social media accounts
	    - if data available, increase on ads revenue
	  - Qualitative data
	    - testimonials from editorial management on skills development of their team members
	    - testimonials from editorial staff on improvement on its practices
	    - testimonials of HR team regarding onboarding of new journalists
	- RSF is currently continuing its efforts in order to secure incentives for media outlets who have either published their transparency report or been audited by a certifier. These incentives can be granted, among others, by : advertisers; search engines, news aggregators and social media; funders…

Data	
Measure 22.6	[insert wording if adapted]

QRE 22.6.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
	
	Relevant Signatories will publish self-evaluation and certification results of conforming entities as well as related statistics and analysis on engagement and conformity assessment, including complaints management.
	
	- Engagement statistics can be disclosed on engagement of publishers in the JTI process (Results on January 1st, 2025)
	  - 1855 publishers have started the self-assessment process (+798 in 12 months)
	  - 548 have finalized the self-evaluation and published the results in the form of a transparency report (+383 in 12 months)
	  - 86 publishers have been audited and certified by independent certifiers (+58 in 12 months)
	- Regarding complaints, a link on the 'community' page of JTI website (https://www.journalismtrustinitiative.org/community) leads to a form open to every user to highlight a complaint or concern regarding JTI certified media. No complaint has been received in 2024.

SLI 22.6.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Relevant statistics and analysis on engagement and conformity assessment
Data	
Measure 22.7	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 22.7.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

	Relevant Signatories will outline the products and features they deploy across their services and will specify whether those are available across Member States. – <mark>JTI–app assessment tool is available in 10 languages (English, French, Spanish, Italian, German, Portuguese, Ukrainian, Arabic, Korean, Mandarin)</mark>			
SLI 22.7.1 – actions enforcing policies above [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Impressions	Clicks	CTR	Shares
Data				

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 23
Relevant Signatories commit to provide users with the functionality to flag harmful false and/or misleading information that violates Signatories policies or terms of service. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 23.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 23.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 23.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 23.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

V. Empowering Users
Commitment 24
Relevant Signatories commit to inform users whose content or accounts has been subject to enforcement actions (content/accounts labelled, demoted or otherwise enforced on) taken on the basis of violation of policies relevant to this section (as outlined in Measure 18.2), and provide them with the possibility to appeal against the enforcement action at issue and to handle complaints in a timely, diligent, transparent, and objective manner and to reverse the action without undue delay where the complaint is deemed to be founded. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 24.1	[insert wording if adapted]			
QRE 24.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]			
SLI 24.1.1 – enforcement actions [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]			
	Nr of enforcement actions	Nr of actions appealed	Metrics on results of appeals	Metrics on the duration and effectiveness of the appeal process
Data				

V. Empowering Users	
Commitment 25	
In order to help users of private messaging services to identify possible disinformation disseminated through such services, Relevant Signatories that provide messaging applications commit to continue to build and implement features or initiatives that empower users to think critically about information they receive and help them to determine whether it is accurate, without any weakening of encryption and with due regard to the protection of privacy. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 25.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 25.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 25.1.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Our company would like to provide following data:
Data	
Measure 25.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 25.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 25.2.1 – use of select tools [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Metrics on the use and impact of tools, features and campaigns deployed to meet Measures 25.2 and 25.2
Data	

VI. Empowering the research community			
Commitment 26			
Relevant Signatories commit to provide access, wherever safe and practicable, to continuous, real-time or near real-time, searchable stable access to non-personal data and anonymised, aggregated, or manifestly-made public data for research purposes on Disinformation through automated means such as APIs or other open and accessible technical solutions allowing the analysis of said data. [change wording if adapted]			
Measure 26.1	[insert wording if adapted]		
QRE 26.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		
QRE 26.1.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		
SLI 26.1.1 – e uptake of the tools and processes described in Measure 26.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]		
	Nr of users of public access	Other quantitative information on public access	Other quantitative information on public access
Data			

Measure 26.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 26.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 26.2.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 26.2.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

SLI 26.2.1 – meaningful metrics on the uptake, swiftness, and acceptance level of the tools and processes in Measure 26.2 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]					
	No of monthly users	No of applications received	No of applications rejected	No of applications accepted	Average response time	Other metrics
Data						

Measure 26.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 26.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VI. Empowering the research community
Commitment 27
Relevant Signatories commit to provide vetted researchers with access to data necessary to undertake research on Disinformation by developing, funding, and cooperating with an independent, third-party body that can vet researchers and research proposals. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 27.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 27.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 27.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 27.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 27.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 27.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 27.3.1 – research projects vetted by the independent third-party body [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Nr of research projects for which they provided access to data
Data	
Measure 27.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 27.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VI. Empowering the research community
Commitment 28

Relevant Signatories commit to support good faith research into Disinformation that involves their services. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 28.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 28.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 28.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 28.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 28.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 28.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 28.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 28.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VI. Empowering the research community	
Commitment 29 Relevant Signatories commit to conduct research based on transparent methodology and ethical standards, as well as to share datasets, research findings and methodologies with relevant audiences. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 29.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 29.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 29.1.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 29.1.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 29.1.1 – reach of stakeholders or citizens informed about the outcome of research projects [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Reach of stakeholders or citizens informed about the project
Data	
Measure 29.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 29.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 29.2.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 29.2.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 29.2.1 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Reach of stakeholders or citizens informed about the project
Data	
Measure 29.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 29.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

SLI 29.3.1 – reach of stakeholders or citizens informed about the outcome of research projects [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Reach of stakeholders or citizens informed about the project
Data	

VII. Empowering the fact-checking community	
Commitment 30	
Relevant Signatories commit to establish a framework for transparent, structured, open, financially sustainable, and non-discriminatory cooperation between them and the EU fact-checking community regarding resources and support made available to fact-checkers. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 30.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 30.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 30.1.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 30.1.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 30.1.1 – Member States and languages covered by agreements with the fact-checking organisations [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Nr of agreements with fact-checking organisations
Data	
Measure 30.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 30.2.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 30.2.2 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 30.2.3 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 30.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 30.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 30.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 30.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VII. Empowering the fact-checking community
Commitment 31
Relevant Signatories commit to integrate, showcase, or otherwise consistently use fact-checkers' work in their platforms' services, processes, and contents; with full coverage of all Member States and languages. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 31.1	[insert wording if adapted]		
Measure 31.2	[insert wording if adapted]		
QRE 31.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]		
SLI 31.1.1 – use of fact-checks [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]		
	Nr of fact-checked articles published	Reach of fact-checked	Nr of content pieces reviewed by fact-checkers	Other
Data			
SLI 31.1.2 – impact of actions taken [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]		
	Nr of pieces of content labelled	Impact of said measures on user interactions with information labelled as false or misleading	Other
Data			
SLI 31.1.3 – Quantitative information used for contextualisation for the SLIs 31.1.1 / 31.1.2 [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Denominator to be decided within the TF ahead of the baseline report
Data	
Measure 31.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 31.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
Measure 31.4	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 31.4.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VII. Empowering the fact-checking community	
Commitment 32	
Relevant Signatories commit to provide fact-checkers with prompt, and whenever possible automated, access to information that is pertinent to help them to maximise the quality and impact of fact-checking, as defined in a framework to be designed in coordination with EDMO and an elected body representative of the independent European fact-checking organisations. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 32.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 32.2	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 32.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 32.1.1 – use of the interfaces and other tools [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Monthly users	Other	Other
Data			
Measure 32.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 32.3.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

VII. Empowering the fact-checking community	
Commitment 33	
Relevant Signatories (i.e. fact-checking organisations) commit to operate on the basis of strict ethical and transparency rules, and to protect their independence. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 33.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 33.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 33.1.1 – number of European fact-checkers that are IFCN-certified [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]

	Nr of fact-checkers IFCN-certified	Nr of members of CPI
Data		

VIII. Transparency Centre	
Commitment 34	
To ensure transparency and accountability around the implementation of this Code, Relevant Signatories commit to set up and maintain a publicly available common Transparency Centre website. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 34.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 34.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 34.3	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 34.4	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 34.5	[insert wording if adapted]

VIII. Transparency Centre	
Commitment 35	
Signatories commit to ensure that the Transparency Centre contains all the relevant information related to the implementation of the Code's Commitments and Measures and that this information is presented in an easy-to-understand manner, per service, and is easily searchable. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 35.1	[insert wording if adapted]

Measure 35.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 35.3	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 35.4	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 35.5	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 35.6	[insert wording if adapted]

VIII. Transparency Centre	
Commitment 36 Signatories commit to updating the relevant information contained in the Transparency Centre in a timely and complete manner. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 36.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 36.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 36.3	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 36.1.1 (for the Commitments 34–36) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
QRE 36.1.2 (for the Commitments 34–36) [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]
SLI 36.1.1 – (for Measures 34 and 36) meaningful quantitative information on the usage of the Transparency Centre, such as the average monthly visits of the webpage [change wording if adapted]	Methodology of data measurement [suggested character limit: 500 characters]
	Our company would like to provide following data:
Data	

IX. Permanent Task–Force	
Commitment 37 Signatories commit to participate in the permanent Task-force. The Task-force includes the Signatories of the Code and representatives from EDMO and ERGA. It is chaired by the European Commission, and includes representatives of the European External Action Service (EEAS). The Task-force can also invite relevant experts as observers to support its work. Decisions of the Task-force are made by consensus. [change wording if adapted]	
Measure 37.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 37.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 37.3	[insert wording if adapted]

Measure 37.4	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 37.5	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 37.6	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 37.6.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 38
The Signatories commit to dedicate adequate financial and human resources and put in place appropriate internal processes to ensure the implementation of their commitments under the Code. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 38.1	[insert wording if adapted]
QRE 38.1.1 [insert wording if adapted]	Outline relevant actions [suggested character limit: 2000 characters]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 39
Signatories commit to provide to the European Commission, within 1 month after the end of the implementation period (6 months after this Code's signature) the baseline reports as set out in the Preamble. [change wording if adapted]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 40
Signatories commit to provide regular reporting on Service Level Indicators (SLIs) and Qualitative Reporting Elements (QREs). The reports and data provided should allow for a thorough assessment of the extent of the implementation of the Code's Commitments and Measures by each Signatory, service and at Member State level. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 40.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 40.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 40.3	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 40.4	[insert wording if adapted]

Measure 40.5	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 40.6	[insert wording if adapted]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 41
Signatories commit to work within the Task-force towards developing Structural Indicators, and publish a first set of them within 9 months from the signature of this Code; and to publish an initial measurement alongside their first full report. To achieve this goal, Signatories commit to support their implementation, including the testing and adapting of the initial set of Structural Indicators agreed in this Code. This, in order to assess the effectiveness of the Code in reducing the spread of online disinformation for each of the relevant Signatories, and for the entire online ecosystem in the EU and at Member State level. Signatories will collaborate with relevant actors in that regard, including ERGA and EDMO. [change wording if adapted]

Measure 41.1	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 41.2	[insert wording if adapted]
Measure 41.3	[insert wording if adapted]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 42
Relevant Signatories commit to provide, in special situations like elections or crisis, upon request of the European Commission, proportionate and appropriate information and data, including ad-hoc specific reports and specific chapters within the regular monitoring, in accordance with the rapid response system established by the Taskforce. [change wording if adapted]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 43
Relevant Signatories commit to provide, in special situations like elections or crisis, upon request of the European Commission, proportionate and appropriate information and data, including ad-hoc specific reports and specific chapters within the regular monitoring, in accordance with the rapid response system established by the Taskforce. [change wording if adapted]

X. Monitoring of Code
Commitment 44 Relevant Signatories commit to provide, in special situations like elections or crisis, upon request of the European Commission, proportionate and appropriate information and data, including ad–hoc specific reports and specific chapters within the regular monitoring, in accordance with the rapid response system established by the Taskforce. [change wording if adapted]

Reporting on the service's response during a period of crisis

Reporting on the service's response during a crisis		
[Name of crisis]		
Threats observed or anticipated at time of reporting: [suggested character limit 2000 characters].		
Mitigations in place at time of reporting: [suggested character limit: 2000 characters].		
[Note: Signatories are requested to provide information relevant to their particular response to the threats and challenges they observed on their service(s). They ensure that the information below provides an accurate and complete report of their relevant actions. As operational responses to crisis/election situations can vary from service to service, an absence of information should not be considered a priori a shortfall in the way a particular service has responded. Impact metrics are accurate to the best of signatories' abilities to measure them].		
Policies and Terms and Conditions		
Outline any changes to your policies		
Policy	Changes (such as newly introduced policies, edits, adaptation in scope or implementation)	Rationale
Scrutiny of Ads Placements		
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.		
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention	

	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Political Advertising

Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.

Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Integrity of Services

Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.

Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention

	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available
Empowering Users	
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.	
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available
Empowering the Research Community	
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.	
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available
Empowering the Fact-Checking Community	
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.	
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention

	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Reporting on the service's response during an election

Reporting on the service's response during an election		
Threats observed or anticipated at time of reporting: [suggested character limit 2000 characters].		
Mitigations in place – or planned – at time of reporting: [suggested character limit: 2000 characters].		
[Note: Signatories are requested to provide information relevant to their particular response to the threats and challenges they observed on their service(s). They ensure that the information below provides an accurate and complete report of their relevant actions. As operational responses to crisis/election situations can vary from service to service, an absence of information should not be considered a priori a shortfall in the way a particular service has responded. Impact metrics are accurate to the best of signatories' abilities to measure them].		
Policies and Terms and Conditions		
Outline any changes to your policies		
Policy	**Changes (such as newly introduced policies, edits, adaptation in scope or implementation)**	**Rationale**
Scrutiny of Ads Placements		
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.		
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention	
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available	

Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Political Advertising

Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.

Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Integrity of Services

Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.

Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Empowering Users

	Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Empowering the Research Community	
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.	
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available

Empowering the Fact-Checking Community	
Outline approaches pertinent to this chapter, highlighting similarities/commonalities and differences with regular enforcement.	
Specific Action applied (with reference to the Code's relevant Commitment and Measure)	Description of intervention
	Indication of impact (at beginning of action: expected impact) including relevant metrics when available